

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/834,294 04/12/2001		Jennifer A. Battey	HE0142	4240	
21495	7590	03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING	CABLE SY	STEMS LLC	HYEON, HAE M		
P O BOX 48 HICKORY,	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	110 2000			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>IX</i> /c <				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/834,294	BATTEY ET	AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hae M Hyeon	2839					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the corresponden	ce address				
THE I - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, howeverthe ply within the statutory mining the will expire State, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considere IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.				
Status				•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 i	February 2004.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□								
Applicati	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rece nts have been rece iority documents ha au (PCT Rule 17.20	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nat a)).					
Attachmen	t(s)	_						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Applicatio Other:	on (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 4 and 5 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to US Patent No. 6,190,793 B1 by Barton et al, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barton et al (6,190,793 B1).

Although Barton does not disclose a fiber management frame, Barton discloses a structure that meets all the limitations recited in the body of the claim. Also, the examiner is not giving patentable weight to the preamble because the body of the claim does not recite the structure having any relationship to a fiber management.

Barton discloses a structure comprising a support 565, a pair of tension members 585 spaced apart from one another and extending toward the support member 565, and a handle 580 interconnecting the pair of the tension members 585. The tension members 585 exert a force having a component directed toward the support 565 (see Fig. 5A-4). Although Barton does not

Application/Control Number: 09/834,294 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

disclose the element 580 as a handle, it can be viewed as a handle because it can be manipulated with a hand. Furthermore, claim 1 simply recites a handle without reciting its function clearly. Also, the tension members include an upturned lip to facilitate lifting of the tension member (see Figs. 3A-3C, 3E and 3F).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6, 7, 9, 12, and 13 are allowed.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: For claim 7, in 5. combination with all the limitations recited in the independent claim, all the prior arts do not show an interconnection closure comprising a housing having an internal cavity and a plurality of ports, a fiber management frame comprising a support for holding at least one optical fiber connection tray and a bias member having a pair of tension members spaced apart from one another and extending toward the support to exert a force having a component directed toward the support.

Claims 6, 12 and 13, which was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2839

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9, 12 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hae M Hyeon whose AU is 2839 and whose telephone number is 571-272-2093. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the telephone number 571-272-2800 ext 39.

Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Hae M Hyeon Examiner Art Unit 2839

hmh hmh

Hae Moon Hyeon